

I am deeply disturbed by Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the November election. This is a clear example of political and financial interests coming before the public interest. Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest, but has shown a pattern of willingness to pass off biased and partisan material as news, to use their enormous power over public perceptions to influence political outcomes for their own purposes.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them.

Thank you.